



# भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

असाधारण

EXTRAORDINARY

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (i)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (i)

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं. 169]

नई दिल्ली, सोमवार, अप्रैल 16, 2012/चैत्र 27, 1934

No. 169]

NEW DELHI, MONDAY, APRIL 16, 2012/CHAITRA 27, 1934

## SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

## NOTIFICATION

New Delhi, the 16th April, 2012

**G.S.R. 295(E).**—1. Under Rule 5(i) and (ii) of Order IV, Supreme Court Rules, 1966 (as amended) and Regulation (2) of the Regulations regarding Advocates-on-Record Examination made thereunder governing the Examination for Advocates-on-Record, it is hereby notified for the information of all concerned that the next Examination for the Advocates-on-Record will be held in the Supreme Court Premises, New Delhi on 4th, 5th, 6th and 7th June, 2012.

2. All Advocates who will be completing one year's continuous training on or before 30th April, 2012 are eligible to appear for the aforesaid examination.

3. Applications should reach the Secretary, Board of Examiners by 3rd May, 2012. The application forms may be obtained from the office of Secretary on any working day during office hours. No application shall be accepted after 3rd May, 2012.

4. Acceptance of the application is subject to production of requisite certificate to training from an Advocate-on-Record under Regulation 6 of the Regulations regarding Advocates-on-Record Examination.

5. In pursuance of the directions contained in the Order dated October 12, 2011 passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in I.A. No.2 of 2009 in SLP (C) No. 18481 of 2009 titled *Vijay Dhanji Chaudhary Vs. Suhas Jayant Natwadkar*, and as decided by the Competent Authority, pending the amendment to the Regulations regarding Advocates-on-Record Examination, Paper-III for this year

shall be "Advocacy & Professional Ethics" with maximum marks of 100. Candidates who are desirous of exercising their option to re-appear this year in Paper-III under Regulation 11 (i) or (ii) of the Regulations shall also re-appear in the paper "Advocacy & Professional Ethics" with maximum marks of 100.

6. A list of leading Cases with regard to Paper-IV 'Leading Cases' is appended to this Notification as Annexure 'A'.

7. No application/representation for re-evaluation/re-checking of answer sheets shall be entertained.

8. In ensuing examinations those who are given roll numbers and who absent themselves in examination without informing reasonable reasons in writing will be treated as not sufficiently prepared and will be dealt with under Regulation 5 (b) without giving further opportunity and time may be prescribed within which they shall not appear again for examination without prior permission of The Board of Examiners. It has been further decided that all such candidates who remain absent without reasonable cause, may be issued show cause notice to explain why their case shall not be placed before the Board of Examiners for appropriate order.

9. The candidates are further informed that the Committee of Hon'ble Judges of the Examination Committee on the recommendation of the Board of Examiners have decided that a candidate who fails in all the papers of Advocate-on-Record Examination held in an year shall not be permitted to appear in the ensuing examination. It was further decided that a candidate may be allowed five chances to appear at the examination.

and those who do not qualify in the desired five chances given, they shall not be permitted to appear in any further examination.

[F. No. AOR Exam/June/2012]  
SUNIL THOMAS, Registrar & Secy.  
Board of Examiners  
Annexure 'A'

13.12.05

#### REVISED LIST OF LEADING CASES

1. The State of West Bengal vs. Anwar Ali Sarkar (1952) SCR 284
2. The Commissioner, Hindu Religious Endowments, Madras vs. Sri Lakshmindra Tirtha Swamiar of Sri Shirur Mutt (1954) SCR 1005
- 3A. In Re : The Keraia Education Bill, 1957. Reference under Article 143 (1) of the Constitution of India (1959) SCR 995
- 3B. TMA Pai Foundation vs. State of Karnataka (2002) Suppl. 3 SCR 587
- 3C. PA Inamdar vs. State of Maharashtra (2005) 6 SCC 537
- 4A. Pandit M.S.M. Sharma vs. Shree Krishna Sinha & Ors. (1959) Suppl. 1 SCR 806.
- 4B. People's Union for Civil Liberties (PUCL) & Anr. vs. Union of India & Anr. (2003) 2 SCR 1136
5. The Automobile Transport (Rajasthan) Ltd. vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors. (& connected appeals) 1963(1) SCR 491
6. State Trading Corporation of India vs. The Commercial Tax Officer Visakhapatnam (1964) 4 SCR 99
7. In Re: Keshav Singh (Special Reference No. I of 1964.) (1965) 1 SCR 413
8. Naresh Shridhar Mirajkar vs. State of Maharashtra (1966) 3 SCR 744
9. Golaknath vs. State of Punjab (1967) 2 SCR 762
10. Rustom Cowasjee Cooper vs. Union, of India (1970) 3 SCR 530
11. H. H. Maharajadhiraja Madhav Rao Jiawaji Rao vs. Union of India (1971) 3 SCR 9
- 12A. Keshavananda Bharali vs. State of Kerala (1973) Supp SCR 1
- 12B. S.R. Bommai vs. Union of India (1994) 2 SCR 644
- 12C. L. Chandra Kumar vs. Union of India (1997) 2 SCR 1186
- 12D. Indira Gandhi vs. Raj Narain (1976) 2 SCR 347
- 12E. Minerva Mills vs. Union of India (1981) 1 SCR 206
- 12F. Waman Rao vs. Union of India (1981) 2 SCR 1
13. Samsher Singh vs. State of Punjab (1975) 1 SCR 814
14. ADM Jabalpur vs. S. Shukla (1976) Suppl. SCR 172
15. R.S. Joshi vs. Ajit Mills (1978) 1 SCR 338
16. Trustees for the Improvement of Calcutta vs. Chandrasekhar Mallick (1978) 1 SCR 136
17. Bangalore Water Supply & Sewerage Board vs. A. Rajappa (1978) 3 SCR 207
18. Madan Mohan Pathak vs. Union of India (1978) 3 SCR 334
19. State of Karnataka vs. Union of India (1978) 2 SCR 1
20. Maneka Gandhi vs. Union of India (1978) 2 SCR 621
21. In Re: The Special Courts Bill, 1978 (1979) 2 SCR 476
22. S.P. Gupta vs. Union of India (1982) 2 SCR 365
23. M C Mehta & Another vs. Union of India & Ors. (1987) 1 SCR 319
- 24A. A.R. Antulay vs. R.S. Nayak (1988) Suppl. 1 SCR 1
- 24B. Rupa Ashok Hurra vs. Ashok Hurra & Anr. (2002) 2 SCR 1006
25. Synthetics & Chemicals Ltd. vs. State of U.P. (1989) Suppl. 1 SCR 623
26. Indira Sawhney vs. Union of India (1992) Suppl. 2 SCR 454
27. Supreme Court Advocates-on-Record Asso. vs. Union of India (1993) Suppl. 2 SCR 659
28. Mafatlal Industries Vs. Union of India (1996) Suppl. SCR 585
29. New Delhi Municipal Council vs. State of Punjab etc. etc. (1996) Suppl. 10 SCR 472
30. Vishaka & Ors. vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors. (1997) Suppl. 3 SCR 404
31. Supreme Court Bar Association vs. Union of India (1998) 2 SCR 795
32. P.V. Narasimha Rao etc. etc. vs. State (CBI/SPE) etc. etc. (1998) 2 SCR 870
33. Special Reference No: I of 1998 (1998) Suppl. 2 SCR 400
34. Dr. Preeti Srivastava & Anr. etc. etc. vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh & Ors. (1999) Suppl. 1 SCR 249
35. State of Maharashtra vs. Milind & Ors. (2000) Suppl. 5 SCR 65
36. E.S. Rajaram & Ors. vs. Union of India & Ors. (2001) 1 SCR 203
37. M/s. Somaiya Organics (India) Ltd. vs. State of U.P. & Anr. (2001) 3 SCR 33.

38. B.R. Kapur vs. State of Tamil Nadu & Anr. (2001) Suppl.3 SCR 191
39. In Re : Arundhati Roy Contemnor (2002) 2 SCR 213
40. P. Rama Chandra Rao vs. State of Karnataka (2002) 3 SCR 60
41. Pradeep Kumar Biswas & Ors. vs. Indian Institute of Chemical Biology & Ors. (2002) 3 SCR 100
42. Special Reference No.1 of 2002 (2002) Suppl.3 SCR 366
43. The State of West Bengal & Ors. vs. Kesoram Industries Ltd. & Ors., (2004) 1 SCR 564

44. Standard Chartered Bank & Ors. vs. Directorate of Enforcement & Ors. (2005) 4 SCC 530
45. State of Gujarat vs. Mirzapur Moti Kureshi Kassab Jamat & Ors. (2005) 8 SCC 534
46. S.B.P. & Co. vs. Patel Engineering Ltd. & Anr. SCC (2005) 8 SCC 618

**Note (for item Nos. J.2E & 12F) :** The correctness of these judgments have been referred to a larger Bench preferably of nine Judges in I.R. Coelho (Dead) by L. Rs etc. vs. State of Tamil Nadu etc. (1999) Suppl. 2 SCR 394.

**Note (for Item No.17) :** The correctness of the interpretation of the word "industry" in this case stands referred to a larger Bench in State of U.P. vs Jai Bir Singh (2005) SCC 1.